5
ŝ
#
988
8
å
Ņ
ź
7

	Newark Housing Authority PHASE I	 PHASE II
TOTAL # BLDGS: 6	TOTAL UNITS: 34	TOTAL # BLDGS: 6
	1B / 1B = 6 Units	1B/1B = 8Un
	2A = 4 Units	2A = 2 Units
	2B = 5 Units	2B = 5 Units
	2B / 2B = 4 Units (2 handicap units)	2B / 2B = 4 Units (2 han
	3B = 15 Units	3B = 16 Unit
	Community Center	
	Garage Building	
	PHASE III	
TOTAL#BLDGS: 5	TOTAL UNITS: 31	Bedroom Distrik
	IB/IB = 6 Units	x 2003 TDG =
	2A = 5 Units	
	2B = 9 Units	
	2B/2B = 2 Units (1 H.C. Bldg)	
	3B = 9 Units	

OTAL UNITS: 35
s
(cap units)

# 17, 379, 160

Ex. 11

## HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF NEWARK DEPARTMENT OF REDEVEL OPMENT

P.67

## MEMORANDUM September 26, 2002

TO: HAROLD LUCAS

Executive Director

FROM: JOSEPH'S BIANCO TO

FROM: JOSEPH S. BIANCO Director Of Redevelopment

RE: Resolution designating Century 21 Construction Co. as the Developer Project IN-47. (100 units of housing and a community building Between Madison Avenue, Peshine Avenue, Avon Avenue, West Alpine Street

and Irvine Turner Blvd.)

Submitted for adoption by the Board of Commissioners please find the subject Resolution designating Centary 21 Construction Co. as the developer of the subject project consisting of 100 units. Proposals were solicited from developers pursuant to the HUD Guidelines related to procurement by the Turnkey Method. These are part of the 1777 units that must be constructed pursuants to the Court Order in Lew Income Costifican Linguistic.

Proposals were received in March 2002 from five developers who are as follows: Ben Thompton Ausociates, New York, N.Y.; Tosy Gomes Coastruction Co., Newark, New Jersoy, Sparrow Construction, Broax, N.Y.: Centary 21 Coastruction, Ciffich, New Jersey, and Enterory. Community Development, New York, N.Y.: A panel of staff members constitute of Dweigh Brown, Disna Peoples, 195 Sankpal, Raphe Walter, and the writter reviewed the proposals: The constitution manager, Tishmanf/Fundow, provided a technical review of all proposals. Barbers and Barbera, CFA, Reviewed the financial capabilities of each of the proposer.

The Authority met with each of the prospective developes in April 2001 and provided them with the Authority's comments. Amended proposals were rovived reflect the Authority's comments and revisions to the Authority's requirements. Thereafter, the review past ent and evaluated all of proposals based on the criteria contained in the collection to that evaluation, the wide the Proposals based on the criteria contained in the collection to that evaluation the HUD Guidelines for tunkey promenence. Based on asid evaluation, it was determined that Century 21 Construction Co. provided the proposal that is most advantageous to the Authority. Thereafter, the Authority control of the Century 21 to negotiate the final terms of designation. As a result of said meeting Century 21 provided a final price of \$1.73,58,908.

Based on the foregoing, it is my recommendation that Century 2.1 Construction Co. be considered for designation as the developer of NJ 2-47 for an amount not to exceed \$17,538,908.